# Predictable elasticity of Docker applications

Manuel Ramírez López Service Prototyping Lab. ZHAW ramz@zhaw.ch 31/05/2017 14th Docker Switzerland User Group Meetup

## Application composed of multiple Docker containers



#### **Motivation**



#### Why?

- The replicas are stealing resources from other microservices which are on the critical performance path
- The ineffective scaling containers which are not the bottleneck of the application



**Stateful docker container**: A container which maintains state locally across invocations. So must to handle the persistence of the state. Examples: databases and message queues.

**Stateless docker container:** Do not keep any state and therefore do not persist data except through other services.



#### How do Docker containers scale?

#### Scale-cube

- X-axis: horizontal duplication. Scale by cloning.
- Z-axis: data partitioning. Scale by splitting similar data structures.
- Y-axis: functional decomposition. Scale by splitting different functionality.





1. Some microservices do not scale out or in as fast as is needed. A prediction of when they need to scale is necessary to achieve elasticity.

2. Not all microservice implementations can be auto-scaled by instantiation alone. Stateful services are often not recognised automatically.

3. Auto-scaling over the top of a bottleneck is in vain.



#### Some concepts

#### What is the best combination?

- Combination = (n<sub>1</sub>,n<sub>2</sub>, ..., n<sub>k</sub>)
- The 3 factors:
- Use case (fix)
- Cost
- Performance



- What is the most <u>economical</u> combination satisfying minimum performance constraints?
- What is the <u>fastest</u> combination satisfying maximum price constraints?



#### Step 1: Create a performance matrix



And now some math to show how that works



#### Step 2: Obtain a solution

 $fastest(M_e, prices, max_{\mu}, max_{\kappa}) = i \mid \min_{\forall i \in I} \{ m_i \in M_e \mid m_i < max_{\mu}, cost(i, prices) < max_{\kappa} \}$ (1)

 $cheapest(M_e, prices, max_{\mu}, max_{\kappa})$ 

 $= i | \min_{\forall i \in I} \{ cost(i, prices) | M_e \ni m_i < max_{\mu}, cost(i, prices) < max_{\kappa} \}$ (2)

 $fastest\_rate(M_e, prices, max_{\mu}, max_{\kappa}, rate)$ 

$$= i \mid \min_{orall i \in I} (i \mid rac{m_i}{m_k} \leq rate, \prec_{cost})$$

where 
$$k = fastest(M_e, prices, max_{\mu}, max_{\kappa})$$
 (3)

 $cheapest\_rate(M_e, prices, max_{\mu}, max_{\kappa}, rate)$ 

$$= i \mid \min_{\forall i \in I} (i \mid \frac{cost(i, prices)}{cost(k, prices)} \leq rate, \prec_{perf})$$

where 
$$k = cheapest(M_e, prices, max_{\mu}, max_{\kappa})$$
 (4)



### Practical example



#### **USE CASE (Experiment)**

- Search using word:
- documents/search/tenant/D/replica/word
- Return the last "number" documents:
- documents/tenant/D/tenant/replica/lim/nu mber
- Return the last documents:
- documents/tenant/D/replica/last
- Return the document with the id 4:
- documents/tenant/D/replica/4

Docker images:

- mongo
- chumbo/arkiscrud:1.6.1



#### Practical example

 $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 89.16 & * & 45.53 & * & 43.79 & * & 41.88 & * & 42.05 & * & 40.45 \\ 71.70 & * & 48.11 & * & 40.07 & * & 35.92 & * & 36.05 & * & 36.35 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Title	Policy	$max_{\mu}$	$max_{\kappa}$	Rate	#S-ful	#S-less	Cost	Makespan
fastest	fastest	Х	Х	Х	2	7	0.83	35.92
cheapest	cheapest	Х	Х	Х	1	1	0.33	89.16
fastest with C	fastest	45.0	0.8	Х	2	5	0.75	40.07
cheapest with C	cheapest	45.0	0.8	Х	1	5	0.5	43.79
fastest(C & rate)	fastest	45.0	0.8	1.06	1	7	0.58	41.88
cheapest(C & rate)	cheapest	45.0	0.8	1.2	1	7	0.58	41.88
Legend: $S-less = stateless$ , $S-ful = stateful$ , $C = constraints$								

#### Demo



(Python)

Title	Policy	$max_{\mu}$	$max_{\kappa}$	Rate	#S-ful	#S-less	Cost	Makespar
fastest	fastest	Х	Х	Х	2	7	0.83	35.92
cheapest	cheapest	Х	Х	Х	1	1	0.33	89.16
fastest with C	fastest	45.0	0.8	Х	2	5	0.75	40.07
cheapest with C	cheapest	45.0	0.8	Х	1	5	0.5	43.79
fastest(C & rate)	fastest	45.0	0.8	1.06	1	7	0.58	41.88
cheapest(C & rate)	cheapest	45.0	0.8	1.2	1	7	0.58	41.88



#### Next steps



Until now:

- Specific workload
- Future work:
  - Formula to find the relation for each of the bi-directed connected graphs which compose the microservice architecture



#### **Our research on Cloud-Native Applications**

- One of the research initiatives of the Service Prototyping Lab at Zurich University of Applied Sciences
- Successful transformation of legacy software into cloud-native apps
- Proven track record with CRM, DMS and other Swiss business apps

We co-innovate with software SMEs - contact us for more information!



#### Links

CNA research initiative	blog.zhaw.ch/icclab/category/research-approach/themes /cloud-native-applications/
Docker blog posts	https://blog.zhaw.ch/icclab/tag/docker/
Application composed of multiple Docker containers	github.com/serviceprototypinglab/scaling-containers
Experiments - test	github.com/serviceprototypinglab/scalability-experiments
Results	
Open Science Notebook	Open Cloud D
Formula	Service Engineerin Research Area ICCLAB
	SPLAB/